

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/875,519

Applicant(s)

FARRIES ET AL.

Examiner

F. Pierre VanderVegt

Art Unit

1644

All Participants:(1) F. Pierre VanderVegt.(2) Ann Pokalsky.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 December 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

59

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to the attached Examiner's amendment to claim 59 to indicate that the peptide was isolated and to correct a typographical error. Support for the correction can be found in the specification as originally filed in Table III on page 68/8. No new matter was introduced by this amendment..